Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Risk What is the issue: what is the root cause/ problem – what could go wrong	Consequence /effect: what would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be ?, to whom and why	Existing actions/controls	e) me (See 1	with asu Sco able	ng res oring e)	Further management actions/controls required	So t (Se	furth contr ce So Tabl	with her ols oring	Cost	Risk Owner	Review Date
			Impact	Likelihood	Risk		Impact	Likelihood	Risk			
STRATEGIC AREA - Adult S 1. Adult Social Care & Safeguarding - Integration agenda. Risks associated with large programme of change in challenging financial context.	Failure against national commitments on integration. Services are not aligned; Financial risk; Conflict between priorities of	High visibility at partnership forums; Support to frontline staff to maintain operational relationship management; Communication strategy for transformation in context of integration includes partners.	4	4	16	Establish clear partnership arrangement to agree and deliver Integrated Care in Leicester; maximise Better Care Fund (BCF) opportunity.	3	3	9		Ruth Lake	BCF plan refresh Feb 2016 Planning through 2014/15
2. Adult Social Care & Safeguarding - Meet Health & Safety (H&S) expectations in regulated provision. Fail to maintain safe water systems in all units; Failure to maintain essential health and safety in intermediate care provision.	III health or death to residents and/or staff or visitors from water borne infections or poor H&S practices.	Water hygiene monitoring practice in place	5	3	15	Ensure all registered managers go on required training and fully understand the requirements for temperature checking, flushing regimes, tap cleaning etc. and can closely monitor those carrying out these tasks.	5	2	10		Ruth Lake	31.03.2016

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Risk What is the issue: <i>what is the root cause/</i> problem – what could go wrong	Consequence /effect: what would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be ?, to whom and why	Existing actions/controls	e) me (See 1	with cisti easu e Sco Fablo	ng Ires oring e)	Further management actions/controls required	Sc C (Se	furth ontr e Sc Tabl	with her ols oring e)		Risk Owner	Review Date
			Impact	Likelihood	Risk		Impact	Likelihood	Risk			
3. Adult Social Care & Safeguarding - Failure to meeting statutory need; keeping people safe - Difficult financial climate; complexities with funding arrangement; integration and pooled budgets - risk of inadequate resources to meet need	ASC overspends; Insufficient resources to meet need; Vulnerable people not receiving sufficient care packages resulting in legal challenge and increase in complaints.	as Resource Allocation System) to ensure resources matched to eligible needs to protect funding; budget monitoring; demand monitoring; use of Better Care Fund (BCF) programme to plan for new funding arrangements and	3	5	15	Further work on BCF to protect social care services and promote efficiencies across the Health &Social Care system. Work to review packages of care to maximise resources for those at greatest need. Delivery plan now in place - to be progressed over 15/16	3	4	12		Ruth Lake	31.03.2016 Ongoing
4. Care Services & Commissioning (ASC)- Embedding duties under phase 1 of the care act after 2015/16	Financial impact	Strategic Change Board is monitoring the situation awaiting announcement in government Comprehensive Spending Review (CSR) in November.	5	5	25	On-going monitoring of transactional activity and budget performance is required.				Cannot be determin ed at this stage		Nov/Dec 2015 CSR announceme nt

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Risk	Consequence /effect: what	Existing actions/controls				Further management		Targ				Review Date
What is the issue:	would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be ?,			with cisti		actions/controls required		ore furth	with Ner		Owner	
what is the root cause/	to whom and why		me	easu	res		C	ontr	ols			
problem – what could go wrong			ר	[able	oring e)		•	e Sc Tabl	oring e)			
			Impact	Likelihood	Risk		Impact	Likelihood	Risk			
5. Care Services & Commissioning (ASC) - Failure to carry out effective statutory consultation will result in financial and reputational damage to the council.	Council could face legal challenge through judicial review	Consultations being run as a dedicated project overseen by a senior manager with some temporary additional resource. Ensure time is built into each review, development of all strategies etc. to allow for consultation	5	4	20	Stakeholder engagement strategy in place and we always seek advice from legal services and corporate consultation team. Legal services sign off all consultation materials and agree the approach and methodology. Officers to seek guidance from the corporate	4	3	12	Pot Multi £M	Tracie Rees	31.05.2016 and ongoing
	Detriment (harm) to individuals, groups or the Council (financial or reputational)	High level Audit processes in places via Adult Social Care contracts and assurance team. This is in addition to Care Quality Commission inspections.	5	4	20	Quality Assurance Framework to be used to support identified failing providers.	5	3	15			31.03.2016 and ongoing
7. Care Services & Commissioning (ASC) - Implementation of the 5 Year Leicester, Leicestershire and Rutland (LLR) Better Care Together Plan carries high financial and political risk	Financial impact/legal challenge	An LLR Programme Board has been established that includes health and social care chief officers	5	4	20	An LLR Programme Board has been established that includes health and social care chief officers	3	3	9		Tracie Rees	01.01.2019

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

									-	 	
Risk What is the issue:	Consequence /effect: what would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be ?, to whom and why	Existing actions/controls	ex	k So with cisti easu	า ng	Further management actions/controls required	Sc f	Targ ore urth ontr	with er	Risk Owner	Review Date
what is the root cause/ problem – what could go wrong			Ì	Fable			•	e Sc Table	oring e)		
			Impact	Likelihood	Risk		Impact	Likelihood	Risk		
being unable to meet the additional demands arising from Cheshire West judgement on Deprivation of Liberty Safeguards (DOLS). Risk re capacity to effectively scope the new DoLs cases; challenge from practice in care homes in applying DoLS via urgent applications in inappropriate circumstances		Manager briefings to ensure legal requirements understood; scoping of high risk cases to understand new DOLS cases; prioritisation of action on cases; monitoring of incoming pressures for DOLS team and use of independent Best Interest Assessor capacity; engagement with legal services re Court Of Protection applications and pressures. Additional resources agreed for recruitment via budget setting	4			Tracking of anticipated legal guidance on application of case law in practice; consideration of additional resources to support scoping exercise as this has not been completed due to lack of resources / competing priorities. Meeting with legal services to assess position / agree actions to mitigate risk 24 March. Issue to be escalated to Leadership Team. Further work via NHS England Mental Capacity Act project and HOS to address care home practice which is			12	Tracie Rees	31.03.2016
9. Care Services & Commissioning (ASC) - Delivery of Learning Disabilities Day Services using large percentage of agency staff.	Reduced quality, safeguarding, staff sickness, safety	Reed opening up the market, developing induction days and tools, benchmarking training and using the Swedish Derogation rule for consistency.	4	4	16	Monitor and engage with Reed to ensure development measures are undertaken. Monitor quality of agency staff	2	3	6	Tracie Rees	31.03.2016 and ongoing

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Risk What is the issue: <i>what is the root cause/</i> problem – what could go wrong	Consequence /effect: what would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be ?, to whom and why	Existing actions/controls	ex me	with cistine asu	ng res oring	Further management actions/controls required	So c (Se	Targ core v furth contre ee Sce Table	with er ols oring		Risk Owner	Review Date
			Impact	Likelihood	Risk		Impact	Likelihood	Risk			
10. Care Services & Commissioning (ASC) Review of Residential Care. Financial risk - largest area of spend and danger of inappropriate models of care.	Continued escalation of spend; inappropriate placements	Project Board in place; extensive research, analysis and engagement	4	4	16	Robust governance through project board, Commissioning Board and Lead Member Briefing	3	3	9		Tracie Rees	31.03.2016 and ongoing
11. Care Services & Commissioning (ASC) Non compliance with our duties under the Equalities Act. Failure to adequately identify and address (where possible) equality impacts of proposed actions.	Council could face legal challenge through judicial review	Equality impact assessments (EIA) are built into service reviews, strategy developments and decision making which help to identify equality impacts and actions to be taken.	5	3	15	Ensure all staff are fully aware of when to use EIA's and build this into their routine work (when necessary). Training to be offered through Better Care Together.	5	2	10	Pot Multi £M	Tracie Rees	31.03.2016 and ongoing

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

12. Care Services & High financial risk and operational non compliance Phase 1 of the Act successfully implemented on 01/04/15. Phase 2 - Funding Reform now in detailed project planning for 01/04/2016. The implementation will report on a regulate basis to the ASC Leadership Team and Clir Patel (Lead for ASC) 3 15 A Programme Board has been established that will report to the CPMO. Project work streams designed to deliver compliance. 3 2	sting	ce /effect: what Ex as a result, how oblem would it be ?, why	s/controls	e) me (See 1	k Sc with cistin asu Sco Fable	ng res pring	Further management actions/controls required	Sc 1 c (Se	furth ontr e Sc Tabl	with er ols oring e)	Cost	Risk Owner	Review Date
Commissioning (ASC)- Implementation of the Care Actoperational non compliancesuccessfully implemented on 01/04/15. Phase 2 - Funding Reform now in detailed project planning for 01/04/2016.The implementation will report on a regulate basis to the ASC Leadership Team and Cllrbeen established that will report to the CPMO. Project work streams designed to deliver compliance.				Impact	Likelihood	Risk		Impact	Likelihood	Risk			
STRATEGIC AREA - City Development and Neighbourhoods	ccessi 04/15 form i ject p 04/20 bleme egulat adersi tel (Le	non compliance su 01 Re 01 im a r Le Pa	nplemented on use 2 - Funding detailed ng for ne n will report on is to the ASC am and Cllr	5	3		been established that will report to the CPMO. Project work streams designed to	3	2	6	Full cost imps are still to be determin ed - financial assessm ent wip. Natn'l, regn'l & local work taking place to f/cast inc in demand.		2019

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Diak		Eviating actions/controls	D:-		. or c		-	Tarr	-	Cent	Diale	Deview Deta
Risk What is the issue:	would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be ?,	Existing actions/controls	e	with kisti	ng	Further management actions/controls required	Sc f	urth	with ner		Risk Owner	Review Date
what is the root cause/	to whom and why		me	easu	res		C	ontr	ols			
problem – what could go wrong				e Sco Table	oring e)		-	e Sc Table	oring e)			
			Impact	Likelihood	Risk		Impact	Likelihood	Risk			
Reform on Housing Rents Account (HRA) rental income collection and supported housing. Universal Credit (UC) is to be fully implemented in 2017 .	including housing costs element the, directly themselves, monthly in arrears. They will have to pay their FULL rent out of this. The biggest challenge to the HRA will be to collect the full rent from those working age claimants	On-going promotion of Clockwise accounts with tenants. Focus STAR team support on those affected. maximise the number of tenants claiming DHP for bedroom tax affected cases. Identified tenants who are over-occupying in order to help with down-sizing. Promotion/awareness to tenants of Discretionary Housing Payments (DHP). Income Management team strengthened. Amended Allocations policy to assist downsizing	4	4	16	Development of Northgates IT system (phase 2) to support paperless direct debits. Mandatory direct debits or Clockwise accounts for New tenants has been implemented. Proposed changes to internal business processes to re- introduce pre-tenancy determinations interviews to collate financial information prior to tenancy sign up. Need for further new processes in Income Management Team. Looking at contingency arrangements, i.e. releasing all self contained accommodation in return for shared accommodation or, viability of setting up or working with a private organisation to meet the	4	3	12			31.07.2016 and ongoing

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

			_				_				
Risk What is the issue: <i>what is the root cause/</i>	Consequence /effect: what would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be ?, to whom and why	Existing actions/controls	ex	k So with cistin asu	า ng	Further management actions/controls required	Sc f	Targ ore v furth ontro	with er	Risk Owner	Review Date
problem – what could go wrong			`	e Sco Fable	oring e)		•	e Sco Table	oring e)		
			Impact	Likelihood	Risk		Impact	Likelihood	Risk		
challenge, liability and reputational consequence if properties are not adequately maintained. Greater financial investment needed in the future. Rent reduction of 1% per annum for next 4 years will threaten budget for maintenance.	Poor living conditions, H&S risks to tenants, properties falling into disrepair. Reputational risk	On-going capital investment (25 year strategy and planned maintenance programmes). On-going day to day responsive repairs service. Minimum standard for property re-letting. In house Quality Control team. Continue to review more effective ways of maintaining the stock	5			Reviewed Jan 2016. No further actions/controls required. Spending review phase 3 will identify how to keep spending within reduced budgets.	5	3		Ann Branson	31.03.2016
15. Investment - Health and Safety-Limited up to date H&S awareness - no corporate mandate to establish staff minimum requirements	Risk of injury to self or others - and reduced capability to write up site/LCC exposed to risk. orders/tasks with consideration to H&S - LCC liability exposed	has been addressed - H&S	5	5	25	Corporate governance on H&S training - appropriate to needs	5	5	25	Mark Lloyd	31.03.2016 ongoing

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Risk	Concernance leffecture to t	Existing actions/controls	Dia	L C	ooro	Further management	-	Targ		Cost	Risk	Review Date
What is the issue:	Consequence /effect: what would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be ?, to whom and why	Existing actions/controls	e	with xisti	ing	actions/controls required	Sc f	ore furth	with er		Owner	Review Date
what is the root cause/			me	easu	ires		C	ontr	ols			
problem – what could go wrong			•	e Sco Tablo	oring e)		•	e Sc Tabl	oring e)			
			Impact	Likelihood	Risk		Impact	Likelihood	Risk			
16. Investment - Lift Condition Assessment - Asset Capture	Lack of forward planning in terms of planned maintenance and programming change of assets Continued failure of assets - run to failure - ad hoc capital required to make good - less reliable assets and more entrapments. Lift users may be compromised in terms of access/egress/mobility - as		5	5	25	Establish Capital programme based on criticality and pre-survey collation of data. Re let Lift Maintenance contract informed by condition survey.	5	5	25	Staffing (£40k per annum) on revenue budget in M/E team	Mark Lloyd	3 year plan
17. Investment- Delay and compensation event claims are received leading to extensive costs.	Contingency held to address unforeseen issues may be overspent	All claims are monitored and are challenged using internal and external resources. Continued dialogue with the Finance Team to monitor the financial position.	5	4	20	Review meeting established with the contractor and information being sought to substantiate claims with the assistance of a programme analyst and specialist advisors. To date claims have been settled where they are justified and claims with inadequate information or inaccuracy rebutted. Information is still not forthcoming from GT.	4	3	12	Continge ncy provision is over subscrib ed	Lloyd	30.04.2016 and ongoing

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Risk		Evicting actions/controls	Di-	L 6-		Eurther monogoment	-	Tare	-	Cost	Risk	Review Date
What is the issue:	Consequence /effect: what would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be ?, to whom and why	Existing actions/controls	e>	with kisti kisti	ng	Further management actions/controls required	Sc f	Targ ore urth ontre	with er	Cost	RISK Owner	Review Date
what is the root cause/ problem – what could go wrong			(See	e Sco	oring		(Se	e Sco	oring			
			1	Fable	e)			Table	e)			
			Impact	Likelihood	Risk		Impact	Likelihood	Risk			
18. Investment - Raising educational achievement -The discontinuation of PCP (reduction in capital investment) and the continuing need to accommodate pupil increases.	A Statutory duty is not met	Delivery of Basic Need Programme to address pupil placements required by September 2015.	4	4	16	Continued assessment & development across the Primary School estate.	4	3	12	Staff time	Mark Lloyd	30.09.2015 then review 6 monthly
19. Investment - Schools Capital. Raising educational achievement.	Reduction in capital investment in schools with ageing school stock and deteriorating condition Potential to not meet statutory building requirements. Reputational damage to the council.	Develop long term strategy across the Primary School estate	4	4	16	Develop long term strategy across the primary and retained secondary school estate is now underway, Condition surveys being undertaken in order to formulate a 3 year programme of works for Planned Capital Maintenance.	4	2	8		Mark Lloyd	30.09.2015 then review 6 monthly
20. Investment - Maintaining Income (Capital and Revenue) on behalf of the Council	Economic downturn affecting budget	Voids and arrears monitored Monthly .	4	4		Send rent demands, reviews and renewals on time - collect rent on time. Manage tenants in arrears.	3	4	12		Mark Lloyd	30.04.2016 and ongoing

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Risk What is the issue: what is the root cause/ problem – what could go wrong	Consequence /effect: what would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be ?, to whom and why	Existing actions/controls	e) me (See	witi xisti easu	ing ures :oring	actions/controls required	So C (Se	furth ontr	with er ols oring		Risk Owner	Review Date
			Impact	Likelihood	Risk		Impact	Likelihood	Risk			
21. Investment - Loss of use of Asset	Closure of buildings due to asbestos	 Findings of asbestos action plan being implemented. Asbestos monitoring returns to be reported to DivMT and Heads of Property monthly. To Corporate Management Team if cause for concern. Action plan works now completed, signed off by Health & Safety and now being monitored. 	5	3	15	 Ensure 100% compliance with asbestos returns with accurate data by holding Building Responsible Officers to account. Ensure all buildings have an asbestos register 	3	2		Staff time	Mark Lloyd	30.04.2016 and ongoing

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Risk What is the issue: <i>what is the root cause/</i> problem – what could go wrong	Consequence /effect: what would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be ?, to whom and why	Existing actions/controls	ex me (See T	with cistin asu Sco Fable	ng res pring e)	Further management actions/controls required	Sc f c	furth contr ce Sc Tabl	with ner rols coring le)	Cost	Risk Owner	Review Date
			Impact	Likelihood	Risk		Impact	Likelihood	Risk			
	Closure of buildings due to poor water hygiene standards	 Implementation of control regime comprising ongoing regular monitoring, reports, risk assessment reviews and maintenance with allocated budgets. Water hygiene monitoring returns to be reported to DivMT and Heads of Property monthly. To Corporate Management Team (CMT) if cause for concern. Spend of allocated capital budget for water hygiene and production of ongoing prioritised schedule of works ongoing. Water hygiene responsibilities in non-op estate have been confirmed and necessary action taken. 				 Seek 100% compliance with water hygiene returns with accurate data. Further budget for 13/14 works approved in capital programme subject to Corporate Management Team decision. More rigorous audit of Building Responsible Officer monitoring to be undertaken. 						

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Risk What is the issue: what is the root cause/	Consequence /effect: what would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be ?, to whom and why	Existing actions/controls	e	k So with cisti easu	ng	Further management actions/controls required	Sc f	Targ ore urth ontro	with er	Cost	Risk Owner	Review Date
problem – what could go wrong				e Sco Fable	oring e)		-	e Sco Table	oring e)			
			Impact	Likelihood	Risk		Impact	Likelihood	Risk			
22. Local Services and Enforcement - LACK OF ADEQUATE RESOURCE CAPACITY Increase in the demand led services, along with the reduction in head count could mean that there are insufficient resources to deliver the required service levels. During times of change, staff are not always aware of the changes being made, such as the recent relocation requirements, needs and plans etc., resulting in confusion etc.	 Teams already at a minimum and extra workloads are unsustainable. As demand-led services increase, workload and public expectations increase. Likelihood of key person dependency as teams reduce further (fewer people in key roles). Potential risk of non- compliance or breaches/lack of a substantial control environment. Service delivery requirements not met. Staff wellbeing may be harmed. 	 Existing prioritisation arrangements are in place. Policies and procedures are in place. Processes are in place. 	4	4	16	 Review of succession planning is to be conducted. Need to assess the service demand against the resource availability to understand impacts and generate action plans. Develop further prioritisation arrangements. Continually assess through performance appraisals and individuals one-to-ones. 	3	3	9		John Leach	31.03.2016

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Risk What is the issue: what is the root cause/ problem – what could go wrong	Consequence /effect: what would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be ?, to whom and why	Existing actions/controls	e) me (See	with xisti easu e Sco Tablo	ing ures oring e)	Further management actions/controls required	SC (Se	furth contr ee Sc Tabl	with er ols oring e)		Risk Owner	Review Date
			Impact	Likelihood	Risk		Impact	Likelihood	Risk			
With reductions in public demand in building, parking, licencing, income generated by the Council	 One off income is disclosed 		3	5	15	 Need to review income targets for recurring and 'one off' income with finance to resolve on-going issues. Enhance the business development resources/opportunity. Budget strategy review. Service review/impacts. Further marketing and promotional projects. 	3	4	12	N/A	John Leach	31.03.2016 Ongoing

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Risk What is the issue: what is the root cause/ problem – what could go wrong	Consequence /effect: what would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be ?, to whom and why	Existing actions/controls	e) me (See	with a existing measures (See Scoring Table)		Further management actions/controls required	So f c	furth ontr ee Sc Tabl	with er ols oring e)		Risk Owner	Review Date
			Impact	Likelihood	Risk		Impact	Likelihood	Risk			
24. Local Services and Enforcement RESOURCE & CAPACITY - INCREASED WORKFORCE AGE PROFILE Specialist skills and knowledge within the team may be lost due to future retirement programmes. Furthermore, national surveys have identified a lack of aspiration in individuals (younger generation, female workforce and some ethnicities) wishing to join the Council within these roles.	 Teams already at a minimum number and extra workloads may be unsustainable. Likelihood of key person dependency as teams reduce further (fewer people in key roles). Potential non-compliance with legislation/regulation. Potential stress-related absence/claims. Quality of service delivery may be affected. 	 "Step up" - work experience utilise. Graduate project officers. Training & Mentoring Knowledge sharing 	3	5	15	 Succession planning review is required. Continue to enhance and develop the apprenticeship scheme. Commence positive promotion of the work/career in this area. Seek funding for apprenticeship. Ensure knowledge sharing takes place. Training/ Mentoring/ Structuring. 	3	4	12	N/A	John Leach	31.03.2016 Ongoing
STRATEGIC AREA - Corpora	ate Resources and Sup	<u>port</u>										

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Risk What is the issue: what is the root cause/ problem – what could go wrong	Consequence /effect: what would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be ?, to whom and why	Existing actions/controls	e) me (See	with cisti easu e Sco Fable	ng ires oring e)	Further management actions/controls required	Sc 1 c (Se	furth ontr	with ner ols oring	Cost	Risk Owner	Review Date
			Impact	Likelihood	Risk		Impact	Likelihood	Risk			
25. Delivery, Communications and Political Governance - UNPLANNED ELECTION EVENT The service may struggle to manage a number of unplanned, additional elections, as well as a number of different type of elections e.g. House of Lords, Referendums etc.	trained core team; who hold relevant and detailed knowledge. - The potential repetition of	Returning officer and nominated deputies are in place. - Insurance is in place. - Many elections can be planned and have set dates. - May 2015 elections enabled newer members of the core team to develop further skills and experience in specific aspects of the elections process - Electoral Commission guidance gives detailed support in the planning and management of each specific type of elections	4	4	16	 '- Develop skills and expertise across the wider electoral services team. - Ensure that there is a robust planning support structure in place. Develop a potential 'business continuity plan' to build resilience and stability. - Use external or peer support where feasible e.g. from other local authorities. - Consider training/up- skilling a pool of contingency staff. - Review further as a management team. (Actions required to maintain risk score) 	4	4	16			31.03.2016 and ongoing

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Pi-L			D:	1- 0				T	-	Dist	Davis Dat
Risk What is the issue:	Consequence /effect: what would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be ?, to whom and why	Existing actions/controls	e>	with cisti	ng	Further management actions/controls required	Sc f	furth	with er	Risk Owner	Review Date
what is the root cause/			me	easu	ires			ontr			
problem – what could go wrong			•	e Sco Fable	oring ∋)			Table	oring e)		
			Impact	Likelihood	Risk		Impact	Likelihood	Risk		
26. Delivery, Communications and Political Governance - LEGAL CHALLENGE Increased legal challenges may heighten the need to ensure that processes are effective, efficient, communicated in a uniform manner and that managers and staff follow explicit guidance. Equalities Impact Assessments (EIAs) are likely to become an increasingly targeted area for Legal Challenge.	uniform manner, not consistently worded, communicated or the tone are appropriate), leading to legal challenge. - Equalities Impact Assessments cannot address all potential areas of legal challenge on Public Sector Equality Duty grounds. - Lack of legal expertise/appropriate resources. - Potential for legal challenge/judicial review by providers, staff, service users, etc. - Reputational damage/media exposure. - Unplanned adverse effect on budget/finance - Resource intensive to defend legal	performed to help ensure the Council meets the Public Sector Equality Duty (PSED). - On-going reviews of outcomes of other PSED challenges inform our approach to demonstrating compliance with our PSED,	4	4	16	 Continue to review external practice e.g. from other Local Authorities and partners, which have been deemed as best practice and implement locally as appropriate. Ensure the correct resources, with the relevant skills and experience are allocated to roles. Ensure HR support is available. Implement agreed actions in relation to strengthening evidence based decision making including use of data and research 	4	3	12	Miranda Cannon	31.03.2016

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Risk What is the issue: what is the root cause/ problem – what could go wrong	Consequence /effect: what would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be ?, to whom and why	Existing actions/controls	ex me (See	witl kisti easu e Sca Fabla	h ing ures coring le)	Further management actions/controls required	Sc 1 c (Se	furth ontr ee Sc Tabl	with ner ols oring le)	Cost	Risk Owner	Review Date
			Impact	Likelihood	Risk		Impact	Likelihood	Risk			
26. Delivery, Communications and Political Governance - LEGAL CHALLENGE - Continued	 Procurement process may be challenged. Legal challenges focus on 	 Equality checklist for different stages of capital projects being developed so that equalities considerations at each stage are recorded and signed off Council EIA template being used for Health & Well Being Board reports and also for Better Care Together reports, standardising our approach with partners particularly in Health sector. Work underway to further develop internal skills and capacity in relation to robust evidence based decision making 										

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Risk What is the issue: what is the root cause/ problem – what could go wrong	Consequence /effect: what would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be ?, to whom and why	Existing actions/controls	e) me (See	with kisti easu e Sco Table	ng Ires pring e)	Further management actions/controls required	Sco f co (Seo	urth ontr e Sc Tabl	with her ols oring e)	Risk Owner	Review Date
			Impact	Likelihood	Risk		Impact	Likelihood	Risk		
27.Information and Customer Access Information Governance compliance Key areas of risk are: flexible working practices which expose data to new risks, inappropriate disclosure of personal data, insecure and excessive information sharing externally and internally, lack of universal participation in Information Governance training, lack of awareness of the compliance and enabling role of Information Governance and failure to comply with the Regulation of Investigatory Powers Act 2000. (Also see corresponding risks around Data Protection and Freedom of Information compliance.)	 Potential legal challenge. Breaches in regulation/legislation, which may incur fines, reputational damage and negative media coverage. Local breaches are not reported to the Information Governance Team until a compliant arises. There may be a number of unreported information governance breaches which are unreported and being managed at a local level. Subject Access Requests: 	 Policies and procedures in place e.g. security, retention and disposal. Devices are encrypted. Staff are briefed on Information Governance compliance and asset management. Improvement plan identifies necessary procedural updates etc. Good liaison with Information Commissioner's Office and increased visibility and compliance. Regular reports to Directors on the importance of Information Governance compliance. Staff are required to complete Information Governance training on induction and all staff were asked to complete training in 2013. 	4	5	20	 Requirement for all to complete annual Information Governance awareness training should be enforced. Introduce a self-service Information Governance health check for Managers to check their team's compliance and identify their own improvement actions. Information Governance issues to be addressed more consistently in contracts outside IT Procurement (where this is systematic). 	4	3	12	Alison Greenhil I	31.03.2016

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Risk What is the issue: what is the root cause/ problem – what could go wrong	Consequence /effect: what would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be ?, to whom and why	Existing actions/controls	e) me (See	wit kist easu	h ing ures coring le)	Further management actions/controls required	Sc f c	furth ontr ee Sc Tabl	with ner rols coring le)	Risk Owner	Review Date
			Impact	Likelihood	Risk		Impact	Likelihood	Risk		
27.Information and Customer Access Information Governance compliance - Continued		 Leicester City Council submissions to the NHS Information Governance (IG) Toolkit provide a health check on Information Governance policies and systems. Self service IG Healthcheck tool for managers has been drafted. Next stage is testing. NB staff turnover and high rates of change are increasing the Council's exposure to risk here 				 Need for services facing high staff turnover to prioritise Data Protection and security training to maintain capability levels. NB: in a changing context, controls need to evolve and be constantly refreshed to maintain the risk exposure at the current level and prevent it from increasing. Therefore, no reduction in risk exposure is anticipated. 					

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

							_	_	-		
Risk What is the issue:	Consequence /effect: what would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be ?, to whom and why	Existing actions/controls	e	with xisti	า ng	Further management actions/controls required	Sc f	urth	with er	 Risk Owner	Review Date
what is the root cause/	to whom and why		me	easu	ires		C	ontr	ols		
problem – what could go wrong			•	Table	,			Tabl	oring e)		
			Impact	Likelihood	Risk		Impact	Likelihood	Risk		
 28. Information and Customer Access Staff: Capacity, capability and recruitment Capacity: There are insufficient resources to meet increase in demands, such as business application outage, application failure etc., due to an already lean structure. Teams are being worked increasingly hard including weekends and out of hours. Staff Retention: With a buoyant market place for the team's skills, staff may seek career progression outside the Council. Formal career progression opportunities may not be available internally. Recruitment: Department requires highly skilled people but applicants may be less likely to apply for jobs at the Council as it may not be seen as the employer of first choice. 	key person dependency vulnerability. - Vital skills and expertise are lost e.g. Lync, data	investigated and implemented where appropriate. - Training, motivation, internal career development to retain and develop staff. - Market increments for key posts (4	4	16	Consider up skilling/cross skilling the Team to increase scope of roles etc. - Work with HR to address particular concerns. - succession planning, shaped by skills matrix. - Apprenticeships and graduate schemes for regular input of new talent/skills. - Capture and more proactively manage service demand. - Implement formal out of hours procedure. - review technology architecture to remove any unnecessary complexity and reduce dependency on hard to source skills	3	4	12	Alison Greenhil I	31.03.2016

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Risk What is the issue: what is the root cause/ problem – what could go wrong	Consequence /effect: what would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be ?, to whom and why	Existing actions/controls	with existing measures (See Scoring Table)		h ing ures oring e)	Further management actions/controls required	ິ ເ (Se	furth contr ee Sc Tabl	with ner ols coring le)	Risk Owner	Review Date
			Impact	Likelihood	Risk		Impact	Likelihood	Risk		
 28. Information and Customer Access - Continued Key person/team dependency: Reliance on key people/teams, for e.g. Transformation Team, Finance (Agresso) to deliver the service may leave, or could be on long term absence. Structure/Role coverage: There is no formal out of hours service in place to support services, which operate out of Council hours, such as evenings and weekends. Some needs met by goodwill. 						 Review existing support contacts to ensure we understand what maintenance support is offered and that we're making best use of these arrangements. Embed new senior management arrangements. 					

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Risk What is the issue: what is the root cause/ problem – what could go wrong	Consequence /effect: what would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be ?, to whom and why	Existing actions/controls	ex me (See	with cistin easu	ng res oring	Further management actions/controls required	Sc f c (Se	furth ontr	with er ols oring	Cost	Risk Owner	Review Date
			Impact	Likelihood	Risk		Impact	Likelihood	Risk			
29. Information and Customer Access Finance and budget - impact on ability to meet Council requirements On-going pressure to reduce costs within the council which is impacting on the service capacity.	service - Service demand may not be met - Targets and deadlines may be missed, e.g. delivery of new programmes and	 Engaging with the review of IT services to ensure there is a clear understanding of the services provided and the potential impacts of major service cuts. Raise profile and demonstrate value of the team and the need for specialised resource. 	4	4	16	- On-going existing actions.	4	4	16		Alison Greenhil I	31.03.2016

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

	-	<u> </u>							-	 	
Risk What is the issue:	Consequence /effect: what would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be ?,	Existing actions/controls		sk So with xisti		Further management actions/controls required	Sc	Targ ore furth	with	Risk Owner	Review Date
what is the root cause/	to whom and why		me	easu	ires		С	ontr	ols		
problem – what could go wrong				e Sco Table	oring e)			e Sc Tabl	oring e)		
			Impact	Likelihood	Risk		Impact	Likelihood	Risk		
30. Information and Customer Access Information Security The information and IT security environment is changing rapidly, altering the risk profile and requiring constant adjustment of controls e.g. Challenges of cloud computing, use of mobile devices for flexible working, bring your own device). It is challenging for central IT and information services to evolve infrastructure, policy, practice and guidance to keep up, and for the wider employee base to adapt their working practices to keep the organisation's information secure. In addition, requirements for national Code of Connection compliance also change over time, placing new security demands on the organisation. Failure to stay on top of security risks presents the risk of information security breaches.	- Impact on individuals (employees, service users, citizens) of their Information being compromised, including distress or damage such as identity theft and	 IT security provisions - encryption, firewalls, virus protection, Secure Socket Layer connections where needed, access control. Security standards, policies and procedures, maintained, proactively communicated and published for universal access. Dedicated security roles undergoing professional development. Assurance routes via 1. Work to obtain and maintain Public Service Network accreditation, 2. Internal audit, 3. Information Governance Toolkit. Information and IT security are integral to IT procurement exercises, to ensure that software and hardware offer good security. Technical Information Security Group to raise security issues, address concerns, track 	4	4	16	 Keep controls up to date to respond to evolving threats. Increase manager awareness of the negative impact of staff change etc. on security awareness and capabilities. Adjust security provisions to meet the next year's Public Service Network requirements. NB: in a changing context, controls need to evolve to maintain the risk exposure at the current level and prevent it from increasing. Therefore, only a limited risk exposure is anticipated. 	4	3	12	Alison Greenhil I	31.03.2016

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Risk What is the issue: what is the root cause/ problem – what could go wrong	Consequence /effect: what would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be ?, to whom and why	Existing actions/controls	ex me	with cistin easu	ng res oring	Further management actions/controls required	Sc f c (Se	urth ontr e Sc Tabl	with ner ols oring	Risk Owner	Review Date
			Impact	Likelihood	Risk		Impact	Likelihood	Risk		
31. Information and Customer Access Capacity and Service Reporting Across the estate, the utilisation of application and network related hardware may not be fully understood.	 Reputational damage Service delivery may not be met Effect on available resources i.e. budget and staff if unplanned upgrades required Negative effect on productivity Affects ability to plan 	- none noted currently (Tools are available but not being used)	3	5		 Maximise use of available tools Develop framework/guidelines for operating procedures 	2	4	8	Alison Greenhil I	31.03.2016

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Risk What is the issue: <i>what is the root cause/</i> problem – what could go wrong	Consequence /effect: what would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be ?, to whom and why	Existing actions/controls	ex me (See	with cistin asu Sco Fable	ng res oring	Further management actions/controls required	Sc f co	urth ontr	with er ols oring	Risk Owner	Review Date
			Impact	Likelihood	Risk		Impact	Likelihood	Risk		
32. Information and Customer Access Demand and change management There is no clear demand pipeline especially around project related activity, which means it is difficult to plan staffing, prioritise and manage workloads etc. There is no Target Operating Model, so that service level expectations/outputs and deliverables are not always clear and not delivered upon under a uniform agreement across the business. In some instances, the least relevant priority is dealt with rather than the most significant. This is exacerbated as there is currently no consistent way to capture and manage Business Application support and demand. ICT cannot provide the additional flexibility, complexity and time/resources required by rising customer expectations.	 Improvements are not made to processes and procedures. Inefficient and/or ineffective operations are in place. Internal reputation impacts. Demand may not be met. Service delivery affected. Incidents are not appropriately identified and rectified. Increased reliance on IT staff rather than departmental self- sufficiency. Increased demand on ICT resources. Supplier response times and deadlines to rectify fixes/changes are lengthy and not always a priority. 	 Tactical improvement actions and plans have been identified and are in the process of being implemented. Gateway process in place Organisational restructure has been suggested and is being considered. Business Continuity Management arrangements under review. 	3	5	15	 Implement holistic Disaster Recovery Plan. Confirm roles and responsibilities. Ask services to involve the customer services team in the planning/phasing/releasing of information etc. Intended focus on more long term and forward planning. Consider establishing a demand team (as part of the Methods review) 		5	15	Alison Greenhil I	31.03.2016

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Risk What is the issue: <i>what is the root cause/</i> problem – what could go wrong	Consequence /effect: what would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be ?, to whom and why	Existing actions/controls	ex me	with cistine asu	ng res pring	Further management actions/controls required	Sc 1 c	furth ontr	with ner ols oring	Risk Owner	Review Date
			Impact	Likelihood	Risk		Impact	Likelihood	Risk		
32. Information and Customer Access Demand and change management - Continued	 Contract arrangements do not include performance targets, turnaround times SLA information etc., the Council is unable to hold them to account. Data could be lost/unable to be restored Delays in projects, tasks and assignments. Adverse effect on budget. 					- Unlikely to be able to influence this risk in the near future as fundamental organisational change is required, so management actions are to maintain status quo and prevent the risk worsening.					

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Risk What is the issue: <i>what is the root cause/</i>	Consequence /effect: what would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be ?, to whom and why	Existing actions/controls	ontrols Risk Score with existing measures (See Scoring Table)		ng res	Further management actions/controls required	Sc f c	ontro	with er ols	Cost	Risk Owner	Review Date
problem – what could go wrong			1	Fable	e)		` .	Table	·			
			Impact	Likelihood	Risk		Impact	Likelihood	Risk			
33. Information and Customer Access Impact on record keeping from use of shared drives and email Information on line of business systems including the Council's EDRMS can be more robustly managed than that on email and shared drives. Email has become the predominant means of business communication BUT this means that records of Council activities and decisions are stored in Outlook rather than systems where they can be sufficiently protected, findable and available as Council records. Shared drive management is also problematic . Many teams do not have a mature shared drive structure in place, and structures are sprawling. Some officers do not have access to shared spaces, only to individual Home drives.	 Excessive IT overhead from backing up and keeping available huge volumes of data, a proportion of which is redundant. Business impact of not seeing the wood for the trees, where documents and files are accumulated to excess without consistent filing practices, naming conventions and disposal routines, and where defunct materials are still cluttering up drives. Potential inability to access corporate records in personal storage locations without the presence of specific members of staff. Potential loss of corporate records when employees leave the organisation and have used personal not corporate filing. 	 ICT induction briefly addresses email management and filing 	3	5	15	 Enterprise Content Management project to enable teams to review their saved content, to organise it and to cut it back to the necessary. Relaunch of Information and Records Management policies. Rollout of information management training for managers. Improved induction training for information management. Integration of IM skills into wider courses where appropriate. Create a self service information and records healthcheck helping services to prioritise addressing weak areas (Jan- Mar 2015). 	3	4	12		Alison Greenhil I	31.03.2016

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

What is the issue:	Consequence /effect: what would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be ?, to whom and why	Existing actions/controls	v exi mea (See	k Sco with cistin easur e Scor Fable)	n ng ires oring	actions/controls required	Sc f c (Se	furth contro	with ner rols coring	Risk Owner	Review Date
			Impact	Likelihood	Risk		Impact	Likelihood	Risk		
Impact on record keeping from use of shared drives and email - Continued Even where well designed filing structures are in place, electronic disposal of records at the end of their lifetime is usually not taking	 The accumulation of past materials impedes effective working on current issues. Potential for the Council to be unable to locate the evidence it may need for its decisions and actions. Increased overhead of responding to Freedom of Information requests. 					 The success of the above controls is conditional on effective communications and strong buy-in cascaded across the organisation from senior management down. Progress is also currently impeded by limited staff resources in Information Management. Restructure underway to increase skilled capacity. 					

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Risk	Consequence /effect: what	Existing actions/controls	Ris	k Sr	core	Further management		Targ	et	Cost	Risk	Review Date
What is the issue:	would occur as a result, how			with		actions/controls required		-	with	0031	Owner	Neview Date
What is the issue.	much of a problem would it be ?,			xisti				urth				
what is the root cause/	to whom and why		me	easu	ires		С	ontr	ols			
			(5.0				(6.		~ - !			
problem – what could go wrong			•	e Sco Table	oring e)		•	e Sc Tabl	oring			
								Tabl	•)			
			act	Ø	Risk		act	р	Risk			
			Impact	Likelihood	Ř		Impact	Likelihood	Ř			
			-	-ike			-	-ike				
				[_				-				
24 Logal Kowaraaa of rick are:	- Data may be lost or shared	- Policies and procedures in	4	5	20	- Requirement for all to	4	3	12	<u> </u>	Kamal	31.03.2016
34. Legal - Key areas of risk are: flexible working practices which	inappropriately.	place e.g. security, retention	4	5	20	complete annual IG	4	3	12		Adatia	Ongoing
expose data to new risks,		and disposal.				awareness training should					Λααια	Chigoling
inappropriate disclosure of	- Breaches in	- Devices are encrypted.				be enforced.						
	regulation/legislation, which	- Staff briefed on Information				- Introduce a self-service IG						
	may incur fines, reputational	Governance (IG) compliance				health check for Managers						
		and asset mgmnt.				to check their team's						
universal participation in	coverage.	- Improvement plan identifies				compliance and identify						
Information Governance training,	 Local breaches are not 	necessary procedural				their own improvement						
	reported to the Information	updates etc.				actions.						
1 5	Governance Team until a	- Good liaison with				- IG issues to be addressed						
	compliant arises. There may					more consistently in						
	be a number of unreported	Office (ICO) and increased				contracts outside IT						
Regulation of Investigatory Powers	breaches which are	visibility and compliance.				Procurement (where this is						
Act 2000. (Also see corresponding risks around Data Protection and	unreported and being	 Regular reports to Directors on the importance of IG 				systematic). - Need for services facing						
Freedom of Information	managed at a local level.	compliance.				high staff turnover to						
compliance.)	- Subject Access Requests:	- Staff are required to				prioritise Data Protection						
	this area has failed in	complete IG training on				and security training to						
	compliance in 2013, and	induction and all staff were				maintain capability levels.						
	could fail again in the future.	asked to complete training in				NB: in a changing context,						
		2013.				controls need to evolve and						
		- Leicester City Council				be constantly refreshed to						
		submissions to the NHS				maintain the risk exposure						
		Information Governance				at the current level and						
		Toolkit provide a health				prevent it from increasing.						
		check on IGpolicies and										
	L	systems.										

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

				_			_		-	-		
Risk What is the issue:	Consequence /effect: what would occur as a result, how	Existing actions/controls		k Sc with	ore	Further management actions/controls required		Targ ore	et with	Cost	Risk Owner	Review Date
What is the issue.	much of a problem would it be ?,			istir				urth				
what is the root cause/	to whom and why		me	asu	res		С	ontr	ols			
problem – what could go wrong			(See T	Sco able	_			e Sc Tabl	oring e)			
			Impact	Likelihood	Risk		Impact	Likelihood	Risk			
34. Legal - Continued		 Self service Information Governance Healthcheck tool for managers has been drafted. Next stage is testing. NB staff turnover and high rates of change are increasing the Council's 				Therefore, no reduction in risk exposure is anticipated.						
STRATEGIC AREA - Educati	on and Children's Servi	ces										
35. Children's and Young People Improvement - Changing for the better LCCIB Improvement Plan - Budget Pressures on the divisional budget	children, young people and families would be reduced and affect safeguarding of children, and potentially have an adverse impact on delivering the Leicester City	Deliver savings as part of the reviews taking place across LCC, including Education & Children's with clear explanations of the potential risks and impact. Deliver savings to meet the budget pressure within the CYPF Division	5	4	20	Identify further projects to ensure delivery of savings, assess impact and agree any further mitigating factors	4	4	16		Claire Pyper	31.03.2017

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Risk		Existing actions/controls				Further management		Targ		-	Review Date
What is the issue:	would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be ?,			with kisti		actions/controls required		ore v furth	with er	Owner	
what is the root cause/	to whom and why			easu	-		C	ontr	ols		
problem – what could go wrong				Table	oring e)		•	e Sc Tabl	oring e)		
			Impact	Likelihood	Risk		Impact	Likelihood	Risk		
Requirements to reduce public sector funding affect the Council's ability to fund key areas of improvement work		term funding of improvement work are being considered by senior managers and elected members. Proposed savings in Early Help services are currently	5	4	20	Further consideration of other identified improvement areas to be discussed. Further areas of the Resource Plan under consideration Quality Assessment post to be advertised in September	4	4	16	Claire Pyper	31.03.2017

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Risk What is the issue: <i>what is the root cause/</i> problem – what could go wrong	Consequence /effect: what would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be ?, to whom and why	Existing actions/controls	ex me	with asu Sco able	ng res oring e)	Further management actions/controls required	Sc f c (Se	furth ontr ee Sc Tabl	with ier ols oring	Risk Owner	Review Date
			Impact	Likelihood	Risk		Impact	Likelihood	Risk		
Increase in number of children looked after results in overspend, compensatory savings have to be made in other services	Reduced Early Help Services, resulting in less early intervention and higher numbers of children and families escalating to higher levels of need, putting additional strain on Children's Social Care budget.	Targeted work to safely and appropriately reduce the numbers of children in care and monitor the numbers of children requiring high cost externally commissioned placements. Further work to be carried out to consider future commissioning arrangements for young people who are victims of CSE.	5	4	20	Examination of existing controls, including social work practice, decision making, work to address young people on the 'edge of care', placement commissioning and exits from care.	4	4	16	Claire Pyper	31.03.2017
Cost of agency social workers, including staffing over capacity, and interim staff working on improvements results in overspend, compensatory savings have to be made in other services	,	Workforce Strategy sets out plans to attract permanent staff to Leicester and retain incoming and existing staff. Strategy includes progression and workforce development. Regular monitoring of staff appointments to agency	5	4	20	Continued work on recruitment, retention and induction. Focus on recruitment of permanent Team Managers.	4	4	16	Claire Pyper	31.03.2017

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Risk What is the issue: what is the root cause/ problem – what could go wrong	Consequence /effect: what would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be ?, to whom and why	Existing actions/controls	e) me (See	with kisti easu e Sco Table	ng ires oring e)	Further management actions/controls required	Sc f c (Se	furth ontr	with her ols oring e)	Cost	Risk Owner	Review Date
			Impact	Likelihood	Risk		Impact	Likelihood	Risk			
Permanent staff absence (sick leave, maternity leave, disciplinary action) results in higher costs because of the need to pay agency worker	Regular monitoring of staff performance, and absence.	Continuing to take a robust approach to managing staff absence and reduce the amount of time that is lost due to sickness.	4	4	16	Children in Need (CIN) Attendance management- briefings for all CIN managers at induction and dedicated HR support put in place to support management of absence management	4	4	16		Claire Pyper	31.06.2016
Staff leave, resulting in the need to fill posts with agency workers	Additional expenditure on agency staff. Loss of experience and continuity.	Workforce Strategy developed and being implemented. Use of agency staff to fill vacant positions while permanent recruitment takes place. National and regional problem of availability of experienced social workers and Team Managers is impacting on LCC.	4	4	16	Ensure progression in place for experienced workers following appointment of new Team Managers. Individual discussions with staff wanting to progress, or dissuade them from leaving.	4	4	16		Claire Pyper	31.03.2016 ongoing

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

_	•								-		
Risk What is the issue: what is the root cause/ problem – what could go wrong	Consequence /effect: what would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be ?, to whom and why	Existing actions/controls	ex me	with cisti easu	n ng ires oring	Further management actions/controls required	Sc f c (Se	iurth ontr	with er ols oring	Risk Owner	Review Date
			Impact	Likelihood	Risk		Impact	Likelihood	Risk		
36. Children's and Young People - Safeguarding Publication of Serious Case Reviews for cases that occurred in 2013/14	Impact on staff morale, engagement with vulnerable families, partner confidence and public reputation	Serious Case Reviews not yet published, first set due for approval December 2015; second set in January/February 2015. LSCB partner agreement and media engagement about the messages to be released. Themes and actions arising from pre- publication messages already included in Improvement Plan, or being communicated separately to staff	4	5	20	Work through LSCB groups to disseminate messages from the Serious Case Reviews.	5	4	20	Claire Pyper	31.03.2016
Abuse or injury to children in a range of care placements	Children would be unsafe and have experienced significant harm while in the Council's care.	Ensure maintenance of robust safer recruitment processes and Local Authority Designated Officer arrangements.	5	4		No further controls identified. Compile and monitor critical Young people identified as being at risk of CSE	5	4	20	Claire Pyper	30.09.2016 ongoing

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

	-								-	 	_
Risk What is the issue:	Consequence /effect: what would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be ?, to whom and why	Existing actions/controls	e>	k So with kisti easu	า ng	Further management actions/controls required	Sc f	Targ ore furth ontr	with er	Risk Owner	Review Date
what is the root cause/ problem – what could go wrong			(See	e Sco Table	oring e)		(Se	e Sc Table	oring e)		
			Impact	Likelihood	Risk		Impact	Likelihood	Risk		
Staff fail to recognise and act to safeguard and mitigate the risks of significant harm to children	No interventions where action needs to be taken, interventions that do not make enough difference to children's lives, an increased risk of significant harm, and/or an avoidable child death.	Agreed improvement plan in place, being implemented and monitored, including all Ofsted recs • Additional short term CIN Team in place to increase capacity • Early Help Offer re- launched with training for staff/ partners • Thresholds documents re- launch • Weekly CIN Performance meetings to look at key performance areas and spot checks on identified areas • Team Manager training to reinforce management oversight • Distribution of agreed Service Standards across the Children's Workforce • External audit of Ofsted cases • Workforce Development Programme with aim of attracting workers to Leicester City, retention	3	5	15	Further Implementation of the Leicester City Children's improvement plan including: • Quality Assurance work by external auditors used to drive up practice and management standards, and enable managers to carry out realistic, robust audits • Principal Social Worker to be appointed to improve practice standards • Outcomes of, and learning from, Serious Case Reviews to be communicated to staff, including recommendations on practice and management work with partner organisations to ensure application of the LLR thresholds, reduce inappropriate contacts and referrals and ensure sufficient detail is given to enable robust decision making. * Appointment of 9	3	4	12		31.09.2016 and ongoing

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

							<u> </u>	-			
Risk What is the issue:	Consequence /effect: what would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be ?, to whom and why	Existing actions/controls	ex	with xisti	ing	Further management actions/controls required	Sc f	urth	with ner	Risk Owner	Review Date
what is the root cause/			me	easu	ires		C	ontr	DIS		
problem – what could go wrong				e Sco Table	oring e)			e Sc Table	oring e)		
			Impact	Likelihood	Risk		Impact	Likelihood	Risk		
Practitioners and managers do not work to required standards	Poor quality, inconsistent service to children, young people and their families, and increased risk of significant harm	Weekly performance meetings in CIN • Quality Assurance work by external auditors in conjunction with social workers and team managers, with immediate corrective action for cases identified. • Reports produced on 'Practice Analysis with results of the Quality Assurance work. • Workshops for all social workers and team managers on the outcome of the Practice Analysis in June 2015 • Workforce Development Programme in place * Briefings and rollout implementation of the Service Standards, Supervision Policy and Guidance and the Performance and Quality Assurance Framework * External auditors feedback	3	5	15	 Implementation of the improvement plan including: Use established frontline (practitioner) Group as 'Champions' Practice and performance quarterly workshops for all staff Continued implementation of the Workforce Improvement Plan including recruitment, retention and induction of agency and permanent staff and action to reduce imbalance of agency Team Managers to permanent Team Managers * Equipping social workers with appropriate mobile technology Business Analysis of the critical area (CIN teams) 	3	4	12	Claire Pyper	30.09.2016

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Risk What is the issue: what is the root cause/ problem – what could go wrong	Consequence /effect: what would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be ?, to whom and why	Existing actions/controls	e) me	with cistine asu	n ng ires oring	Further management actions/controls required	Sc 1 c	furth ontr	with ner ols oring	Risk Owner	Review Date
			Impact	Likelihood	Risk		Impact	Likelihood	Risk		
Abuse or injury to children and young people in the City.	Children would be unsafe living with their parents. Where known to Children's Social Care or Early Help, services would not have protected them. Where a child suffered significant harm or death, there could be a Serious Case Review, with outcomes published nationally.	Implementation of Improvement Plans at Operational and Strategic Level. Recruitment of staff. Staff training. Supervision and management oversight.	3	5	15		3	4	12	Claire Pyper	30.09.2016

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Risk		Evicting actions/controls	Die		core	Further meneroment	-	Tara	- 1	Cost	Risk	Review Date
What is the issue:	Consequence /effect: what would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be ?,	Existing actions/controls		with	า	Further management actions/controls required	Sc	Targ ore urth	with	COSt	Owner	Review Date
what is the root cause/	to whom and why			xisti easu	-			ontr				
problem – what could go wrong				e Sco Table	oring e)		•	e Sc Tabl	oring e)			
			Impact	Likelihood	Risk		Impact	Likelihood	Risk			
Child Sexual Exploitation: Non-recent cases of CSE where police investigation and/or victims statements demonstrate local authority involvement or culpability in failing to protect victims. Current work on CSE where local authority/partnership working have failed to protect young people from perpetrators	Media coverage Claims against the Council	For non recent cases. Local authority engagement with police in non-recent investigations. For current work. CSE Strategy and Action Plan in place across Leicester, Leicestershire and Rutland Leicester Safeguarding Children Board (LSCB). Training for local authority and partner agency staff provided through the LSCB and single agency training. Communications Planning. Liquid Logic workspace in place from July 2015. Problem profile (perpetrator information) being put into place by the police. Performance Framework being established. LCC considering budget allocation to establish a CSE team in conjunction with Leicestershire.	3	5	15	CSE Team to be established. Audit work being carried out on young people who are 'missing' or subject of CSE, to be completed by October 2015 and actions considered. Plans for a multi-agency team across Leicester, Leicestershire and Rutland to work on CSE Work to ensure more robust approach	3	5	15		Claire Pyper	30.09.2016

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Risk What is the issue: what is the root cause/	Consequence /effect: what would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be ?, to whom and why	Existing actions/controls	e	k So with kisti easu	ng	Further management actions/controls required	Sc f	Targ ore furth ontr	with ner	Cost	Risk Owner	Review Date
problem – what could go wrong			•	e Sco Table	oring ≆)		-	e Sc Tabl	oring e)			
			Impact	Likelihood	Risk		Impact	Likelihood	Risk			
Increased demand for service following the publication of the Ofsted report; or due to increasing population of the City	Higher numbers of contacts and referrals diverts core role of social workers to increase time pressures to potentially affect quality of work with children at higher risks of neglect and/or	Regular checks on demands for Early Help and Children's Social Care through performance information		5	15	Continue to monitor, raise with partners through LSCB Examine through Children's Trust and consider multi- agency solutions Encouraging schools to buy in Family Support work	3	5	15		Claire Pyper	30.09.2016 ongoing

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

-				L 0				T	-	Cast	Diale	Deview: D-(
Risk What is the issue:	would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be ?,	Existing actions/controls		k So with cistin	1	Further management actions/controls required	Sc	Targ core furth	with	Cost	Risk Owner	Review Date
what is the root cause/	to whom and why			easu	-		С	ontr	ols			
problem – what could go wrong			•	e Sco Fable	oring e)			e Sc Tabl	oring e)			
			Impact	Likelihood	Risk		Impact	Likelihood	Risk			
 37. Children's and Young People Workforce - Staff fail to recognise and act to safeguard and mitigate the risks of significant harm to children - Insufficient high quality workforce at practitioner and manager levels including: Turnover/retention of agency staff Poor quality agency staff Current Permanent staff leaving Difficulty in recruiting permanent staff to Service Manager, Team Manager and Social Worker posts due to pressure to perform to required standards Practical problems that affect day to day work Leicester not able to attract staff while 'inadequate' 	and a ripple effect from CIN Teams to other teams in social care. New agency staff struggle to pick up cases that have been through several interim social workers causes stress to new staff	teams • Workforce Improvement	5	4	20	Continued work to implement Service Standards, address key areas of staff performance through management action, follow up findings from Performance and Quality Assurance reports	4	4	16		Claire Pyper	31.03.2017

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Risk What is the issue: what is the root cause/ problem – what could go wrong	Consequence /effect: what would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be ?, to whom and why	Existing actions/controls	e) me	with cisti easu	ng	Further management actions/controls required	Sc f c	ontr	with ner	Risk Owner	Review Date
			•	Table	•		•	Tabl			
in support services resulting in key support functions not being carried	-	Continued recruitment of key staff including consideration of secondments * Business Analysis of the critical area (CIN teams) *Roll out of mobile technology to staff	5	4		Recruitment of an additional trainer for Liquid Logic, and further work to recruit report writers. Consideration of Business Support functions in business analysis work	4	4	16	Claire Pyper	30.06.2016

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

D'ala	•	Folia (Incomentions of the state	D .	1. 0		E		T -			Dist	
Risk	Consequence /effect: what	Existing actions/controls			core	Further management		Targ			Risk	Review Date
What is the issue:	would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be ?,			with		actions/controls required			with		Owner	
	to whom and why			kisti easu	-			iurth ontr				
what is the root cause/			me	asu	1163		U	onu	015			
problem – what could go wrong			(See	e Sco	oring		(Se	e Sc	oring			
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				Table	-		•	Tabl	-			
			act	Likelihood	Risk		Impact	Likelihood	Risk			
			Impact	liho	8		lmp	liho	~			
				-ike			_	-ike				
								-				
29 Children's and Young Deenla	Prostitionar/managar training	Health check by Liquid	5	4	20	 Actions taken with 	4	4	16		Claire	31.03.2016
38. Children's and Young People	does not enhance system	Logic in August 2015 with	5	4	20	provider:	4	4	10		Pyper	31.03.2010
 Liquid Logic - Liquid Logic's children's recording 	use	recommendations				- Prioritisation and					турег	
system does not work effectively to		communicated in September				implementation identified					1	
ensure business processes,	staff hampers the use of the	2015				through the Health check						
support good practice or	system	* Consequence of				and for V11						
evidencing children are	Due to increased demand for	•				High level project plan to be						
appropriately safeguarded	social care requirements	be delayed implementation				developed.						
	-	of LL Version 11 to February				Recruitment of Liquid Logic						
	Liquid Logic), the early help	2016				report builders and training						
	reporting roll out in	* POD group meets monthly				of others in Performance						
	September is at risk.	and focusses on LL issues				team to undertake query						
	Change is not embedded	raised by front line staff and				and report building in Liquid						
	and the system is unable to	managers				Logic						
	discover where things are	*Aide memoires issued to				 Task and finish group for 						
	going wrong & progress is	staff to assist with use				Care Plans						
	not being maintained	* Training and helpline in				 Communication Strategy 						
	* Turnover of staff prevents	place				and plan is being developed						
	effective use of the system	* Priority list in place for LL				and used						
	*Shortage of training not	reports				Health check and						
	enabling effective use of	 Contact with Other LAs 				Implementation of V11 need						
	system	successfully using Liquid				to be linked to drive efficient						
	* ICT support for use of	Logic				use of the system. Single						
	system is hamped by	* New staff undergo				route for agreement of all					1	
	insufficient report writers and					future work. Trainers under					1	
	trainers	including Liquid Logic				single management. Role of					1	
	-	training.				champions to be reviewed.					1	
	leads to errors in recording	* Floorwalker support ended									1	
												<u> </u>

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Risk What is the issue: what is the root cause/ problem – what could go wrong	Consequence /effect: what would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be ?, to whom and why	Existing actions/controls	e) me (See	with a existing measures (See Scoring Table)		Further management actions/controls required	Sc 1 c	ontr	with er ols oring	Risk Owner	Review Date
			Impact	Likelihood	Risk		Impact	Likelihood	Risk		
Early Help module system implementation is delayed with governance arrangements not in place, training not available, partners not participating.	Help Assessment (EHA). Partners not engaging in Liquid Logic training or using the system. Partners not	Project board meets fortnightly reviewing risks and progress, Risk Assessment in place, data protection guidance drafted, options being explored to include EHA as part of the ISA for LSCB partners.	5	4	20	Allocation of trainers and BAS report writers to the Early Help system through deployment of existing resources and temporary recruitment of additional staff. Discussion at the LCCIB and the Early Help Group of the Children's Trust Board about how to increase the allocation of Lead Practitioners in partner agencies due to take place October 2015.	4	4	16	Claire Pyper	31.03.2016

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Risk What is the issue: what is the root cause/ problem – what could go wrong	Consequence /effect: what would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be ?, to whom and why	Existing actions/controls	e) me (See 1	with a existing measures (See Scoring Table)		Further management actions/controls required	So c	furth contr	with her ols oring e)	Risk Owner	Review Date
			Impact	Likelihood	Risk		Impact	Likelihood	Risk		
39. Children's and Young People - Inspections - Impact of poor outcomes from Ofsted Inspections.	service to children, young people and families.	Ofsted inspection of Children's Social Care under the Single Inspection Framework took place in January/February 2015, report published March 2015, judgement of 'inadequate'. Inspections and monitoring visits of Children's Residential Homes are carried out regularly and tracked through the 'Residential Improvement Plan'. Preparation work in place for	4	5		Performance and Quality Framework in place. Regular monitoring of performance and quality of service. Meet key targets set by the Improvement board	4	2	8	Claire Pyper	31.03.2016 ongoing

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

		•••							-		
Risk What is the issue:	Consequence /effect: what would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be ?, to whom and why	Existing actions/controls	e	sk So with xisti easu	ng	Further management actions/controls required	Sc f	Targo ore v ⁱ urtho ontro	with er	Risk Owner	Review Date
what is the root cause/ problem – what could go wrong			(See		oring		(Se	e Sco Table	oring		
			Impact	Likelihood	Risk		Impact	Likelihood	Risk		
40. Children's and Young People - Early Help - Failure of services and processes to identify and meet the needs of vulnerable young people. Extent and gearing of department budget cuts for 2012-15 compromises operations and generates a higher safeguarding failure.	young people vulnerable to poor outcomes increases resulting in reduced life chances, subsequent high reliance on specialist high cost services and potentially death. • Poorer outcomes overall, children's plans priorities compromised, loss of education, reliance on higher cost services, death etc. Reduced management and admin cover will reduce the capacity of existing staff to complete the data analysis required to identify and track families/children at risk of poor outcomes. * Partners are not engaged with Early Help or contribute to the offer	protocol in place underpinned by the Early Help and Prevention Strategy. - Launch of the Early Help Assessment, resources and website (Mar 15) - Training programme and comms plan in place - Initial stakeholder analysis completed (Jan 15), more detailed one underway (May 15) - Partnership Performance Framework drafted and Early Help reports for Safeguarding Effectiveness	5	4	20	Embedding the Early Help Assessment with all service providers including schools. Deployment of newly redesigned Family Support role. Complete identified work post implementation of the review . Task and Finish group to be set up to oversee the implementation of the recommendation of the Business case	4	4	16		31.09.2017 and ongoing

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Risk What is the issue: what is the root cause/ problem – what could go wrong	Consequence /effect: what would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be ?, to whom and why	Existing actions/controls	e) me	with kisti easu	ng ires oring	Further management actions/controls required	Sc 1 c	furth ontr	with er ols oring	Risk Owner	Review Date
			Impact	Likelihood	Risk		Impact	Likelihood	Risk		
41. Children's and Young People - Placements for children and young people who are looked after - Inability to recruit and retain foster carers	care placements leading to greater use of Independent Fostering Agencies and greater cost to the Council.	Targeting resources to focus on mainstream foster carers. Foster carer allowances report to be considered by DMT to review payment. Foster carer scheme for teenagers to be considered as part of an 'invest to save' bid.	4	4	16	Consideration of raising foster care allowances to national requirement. Consideration of teenage fostering scheme.	3	4	12	Claire Pyper	30.06.2016
	Insufficient/unsuitable residential care that does not meet children and young people's needs and leads to higher costs for the council and poor outcomes for children and young people. Council's statutory responsibilities as a Corporate Parent are not fulfilled	.	4	4	16	Proposals for invest to save for young people 'on the edge of care'. Increased use of Wigston Lane for young people moving into independence.	3	4	12	Claire Pyper	30.06.2016

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Risk What is the issue: what is the root cause/ problem – what could go wrong	Consequence /effect: what would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be ?, to whom and why	Existing actions/controls	wi exis meas (See So Tab		n ng ires oring	Further management actions/controls required	Sc f c (Se	iurth ontr	with ier ols oring		Risk Owner	Review Date
				Likelihood			Impact	Likelihood				
42. Learning Quality and Performance Leicester City Council reputation / relationships with schools are hindered by the delay in resolving snags and defects items with schools.	sharing and / or celebrating impact of Building Schools	BSF School's in phase 3 to 6 identified as high risks are indicated on internal CPMO report with mitigating actions.	5	5	25	Resource management between property and education to be agreed. Children's Capital Governance to be reviewed to ensure resolution to snags and defects is reported and managed through the system. Clarity to schools provided on esculation route for snags and defects concerns.	5	5	25	staff time	lan Bailey	31.03.2016 and ongoing
43. Learning Quality and Performance - Leicester could be subject to a targeted Ofsted inspection with multiple inspections across schools followed by Local Authority (LA) inspection.	support positive outcome but resource demands would be		4	4	16	Positive response to recommendations identified in peer review completion of a detailed Self Evaluation Form (SEF) leading to a revised school improvement Framework Close work between LA Officers, Department of Education & Ofsted representation to manage RI/SM schools Action plans in place for new teams in the raising achievement service linked to SEF	3	4	12		lan Bailey	31.03.2016

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Risk What is the issue: <i>what is the root cause/</i> problem – what could go wrong	Consequence /effect: what would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be ?, to whom and why	Existing actions/controls	e) me (See	with cistin easu e Sco Fable	ng res oring e)	Further management actions/controls required	Sc f c (Se	iurth ontro e Sco Table	with er ols oring e)	Cost	Risk Owner	Review Date
			Impact	Likelihood	Risk		Impact	Likelihood	Risk			
44. Learning Quality and Performance (LQP) - Children's Capital Investment Delayed capital projects disrupts educational improvements in schools	building issues and disruption.	where necessary to provide additional educational support and guidance in build delay works. Resolution to relationship and reputational management with BSF schools yet to be finalised.	4	4		CPMO reporting to be re- established between property and children's to provide regular update to resolve issues.	3	2	6		lan Bailey	31.03.2016 and ongoing
45. Learning Quality and Performance School closure required due to significant health and safety snags and defects works incomplete in capital projects. i.e. heating, ventilation, water and fire system failures	schools for Children and Young People not met	Building Review Groups (BRG) have now ended with BSF schools - further clarity on contract management to be discussed with property.	4	4	16	Resource management plan of snags and defect resolution to be supported in BSF post handover.		4	16	Staff time	lan Bailey	31.03.2016 and ongoing
46. Learning Quality and Performance - Loss of contractual BSF knowledge and Intelligence through high staff turnover in project teams leading to poor decisions and non contractual compliance	with no record of change,	School have been asked to request BRG reports from BSF project team so that they can take ownership in prioritising issues / actions against education needs. Awaiting final list of issues and snags from property.	4	4	16	Resource management plan of how schools will be supported in BSF post handover to be developed between property and education.	4	5	20	staff time	lan Bailey	31.03.2016 and ongoing

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						-	_						
Risk What is the issue:	Consequence /effect: what would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be ?, to whom and why	Existing actions/controls	e>	with cistin	n ng	Further management actions/controls required	Sc f	Score with further controls		Score with further		Cost	Risk Owner	Review Date
what is the root cause/	to whom and why		me	asu	res		C	ontr	ols					
problem – what could go wrong				e Sco Fable	oring e)		•	e Sc Tabl	oring e)					
			Impact	Likelihood	Risk		Impact	Likelihood	Risk					
47. Learning Quality and Performance - Schools in Ofsted categories or below floor standard converted to academies by order of the secretary of state.	Authorities (LA) schools; impact on overall schools budget and reputation of authority. Difficult to maintain an overview of Children /young people that the LA continue to be responsible for.	School improvement strategy and LA support plans. School2School partnership are in place. Performance dialogue meeting between School Improvement Advisor and school leadership teams for every school in the City. Support and challenge is provided in inverse proportion to need.	3	5	15	Targeted support packages in place for schools in scope for conversion. Half termly progress checks through team around the school meetings Whole school reviews for those schools that are Requires Improvement or in Special Measures - Regular reports submitted to Divisional Management Team re current position	3	4	12		lan Bailey	31.03.2016		
48. Strategic Commissioning and Business Development - Safeguarding/ teaching and learning workforce programmes are ineffective and Local Authority has insufficiently trained staff to deliver and manage the range.	competency. Potential adverse impact on inspection outcomes.	Work Life Balance policies, and supporting wellbeing website www.childrensworkforce/ supporting wellbeing Learning Training & Development Plan refreshed – new Department priority and focus on qualification and safeguarding training.	4	4	16	Management to implement health and safety and wellbeing policies and seek advice and support to mitigate risk of undue stress in the workforce New corporate team to actively engage in implementing workforce strategy and limited strategy and plans.	4	3	12		Frances Craven	31.03.2016		
STRATEGIC AREA - Public I	<u>-lealth</u>													

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Risk What is the issue: what is the root cause/ problem – what could go wrong	Consequence /effect: what would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be ?, to whom and why	Existing actions/controls	e) me (See	with kisti easu	ng res oring	Further management actions/controls required	Sc f c (Se	urth ontr	with ner ols oring	Risk Owner	Review Date
			Impact	Likelihood	Risk		Impact	Likelihood	Risk		
49. Public Health- Potentially having to deliver a £1.7 million in year saving	Non/ reduced delivery of services Cutting contracted services mid year Potential financial, legal and reputational risk to the Council	Review of current cost pressure areas has been undertaken and areas for possible cost savings is underway Assessment of proposals to work within the potentially available budget	4	5	20	Review budgets and Public Health contracts to identify possible savings Review directorate priorities and potentially allocate funding from lower priority areas.	5	3	15	Ruth Tennant	31.03.2016

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Risk What is the issue: <i>what is the root cause/</i> problem – what could go wrong	Consequence /effect: what would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be ?, to whom and why	Existing actions/controls	ex me	with cistii easu	ng res oring	Further management actions/controls required	Sc f co (Se	ontr	with er ols oring	Cost	Risk Owner	Review Date
			Impact	Likelihood	Risk		Impact	Likelihood	Risk			
50. Public Health-Claiming Process for GP Providers- The clinical systems used by GP providers to claim payment for public health commissioned services are insufficiently robust to ensure payment accuracy	Service quality could be compromised due to unreliable clinical coding Performance management could be compromised by inaccurate count data Provider loss of confidence in the payment system where there is a disparity between claims and payment Potential financial, legal and reputational risk to the Council	Alternative spread sheet based payment claim system has been introduced Working with contracts team and CCG to provide a verification system for claims External audit of clinical services delivered by GP practices underway for the NHS Health Check Programme	4	5	20	Continue with the audit of specific cases and involve NHS and city council audit and risk staff as necessary; Ensure all steps and actions are documented; Issue of letter to particular 'problem' practices and inform practices in general warning of claiming accuracy and the city councils stance on this Bring forward plan for routine programme of audits; DMT to ensure that there are adequate resources for audit longer term; Regular reports to DMT and DPH. Continue to work with LCCCG and LCC contracts team to support the implementation of robust claiming mechanisms	4	4	16		Ruth Tennant	31.03.2016

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Risk	Consequence /effect: what	Existing actions/controls	Ris	k Sc	core	Further management		Targ		Cost	Risk	Review Date
What is the issue:	would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be ?,			with		actions/controls required			with		Owner	1
what is the root cause/ problem – what could go wrong	to whom and why		me	cistii easu e Sco	-		C	urth ontr e Sc				
			1	Fable))			Table	e)			
			Impact	Likelihood	Risk		Impact	Likelihood	Risk			
 51. Public Health - Data Access and Sharing - 1. Unresolved issues in national guidance on this matter. 2. Pseudominised Hospital Episode Statistics data for 10 years has not yet been released to us. 3. No current access to birth and deaths (temporarily withdrawn) and risk will be there depending on how long Office of National Statistics takes to approve permissions. 4. Regarding data from General Practitioners (Systmone) the requirements for a data agreement with all data owners. This process is complicated and detailed. 		Audit Information Governance within Division to support move to Information Governance Toolkit Level 3 Division of Public Health is at Information Governance Toolkit Level 2. Application made to Health and Social Care Information Centre for Hospital Episode Statistics data to be provided to us and stored within Arden and Greater East Midlands CSU (company within Leicester City CCG). IT call logged in August 2015 to resolve technical issues of N3 access to GEM/GEMIMA (software programme used via GEM) Data agreement has been signed to make data available via the Risk Stratification project.	4	4	16	More timely data being released nationally on line (aggregated - does not support analysis at lower level). Maintain Information Governance Toolkit Level 2 and work to Level 3. Awaiting national decisions either within the Department of Health, NHS England, Health and Social Care Information Commissioner and/or the Information Governance Officer (secondary care data). Follow up with IT Specification of data requirements to be drawn up by Public Health and developed by CCG Information agreements being drawn up for specific projects (for primary care data) Continue to chase	4	3	12		Ruth Tennant	31.05.2016

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

	-								-		_
Risk	Consequence /effect: what would occur as a result, how	Existing actions/controls		sk So with	core	Further management actions/controls required		Targ ore v		Risk Owner	Review Date
What is the issue:	much of a problem would it be ?,			xisti		actions/controls required		furth		Owner	
what is the root cause/	to whom and why			easu	-			ontro			
problem – what could go wrong				e Sco Table	oring e)			e Sco Table	oring e)		
			Impact	Likelihood	Risk		Impact	Likelihood	Risk		
52. Public Health- Capability and Capacity- Cost pressures from the reductions in the public health budget leading to an inability to maintain business continuity e.g staff	deliver on current and future plans - inability to to recruit the required specialist staff - less effective commissioning of specialist programmes which could lead to increased health inequalities - incurring additional cost pressures through a need for agency and temporary staff	Job description written in a relevant way to attract target applicants Planning for the announced future	4	4	16	Divisional and staffing review Seek grading scheme beyond market supplements.	4	3	12	Ruth Tennant	31.05.2016

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Risk What is the issue: what is the root cause/ problem – what could go wrong	Consequence /effect: what would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be ?, to whom and why	Existing actions/controls	e) me (See	witł kisti easu	ing ures oring	Further management actions/controls required	Sc 1 c	furth ontr	with er ols oring	Cost	Risk Owner	Review Date
			Impact	Likelihood	Risk		Impact	Likelihood	Risk			
53. Public Health - Integrated Sexual Health Service There is a continuing risk that the increasing volume will exceed the budget allocation	Quality of service could be compromised Potential financial, legal and reputational risk to the Council	Leicester City and Leicestershire and Rutland County Councils have a joint partnership management group who are work closely with the provider. Public Health to analyse reasons for increases and work with CCG to ensure correct treatment and provision in primary care to reduce referral Chlamydia screening programme to be greatly reduced in volume , processes and procedures to be followed may cause issues in primary care	4	4	16	Continued meetings with other commissioners, legal advice sought, action plan to be developed Data awaited from provider	3	3	9		Ruth Tennant	31.03.2016

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Diale			D!.	1. 0			-	T	-	Cast	Diele	Deview Det
Risk What is the issue:	Consequence /effect: what would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be ?, to whom and why	Existing actions/controls	e>	with cisti	ng	Further management actions/controls required	Sc f	furth	with er		Risk Owner	Review Date
what is the root cause/			me	easu	res		С	ontr	OIS			
problem – what could go wrong			•	e Sco Fable	oring e)		•	e Sc Tabl	oring e)			
			Impact	Likelihood	Risk		Impact	Likelihood	Risk			
54. Public Health- Clinical Governance - There is currently a lack of clinical governance at a corporate level within the Local Authority. The Director of Public Health (DPH) has an assurance role, however, the depth and levels of assurance allowing them to discharge their duties is currently unclear. In addition, to perform a robust assurance programme over all of the DPHs accountabilities would require significant investment/resource.	Potential risks to patients and the public. Possible failure of external reviews/appraisals. Increase in costs. Uncertainties about existing arrangements.	Clinical Governance Group (Public Health, Social Care Contracts and Assurance, Audit and Assurance) continuously reviews existing Clinical Goverance (CG) arrangements, emerging issues/incidents and provider quality reports , and develops robust approach to CG. -Internal Patient Group Direction (PGD) policy in place and used for all new/review PGDs Current public health contract inventory has been risk-prioritised for potential CG issues. There are existing arrangements with stakeholders/providers; such as CCG LPT etc. who are required to deliver clinical governance assurance. Public health contracts are monitored through existing contracts and quality	5	3	15	 On-going stakeholder engagement and development of existing and future relationships. LCC paper presented to the Quality Surveillance Group (QSG) on 6/11/2016 Bi-monthly exception reports to the QSG on any CG risks and issues first null return submitted 15/1/2016 Serious incident (SI) protocol will be implemented in the next quarter to ensure timely and consistent reporting on all LCC- commissioned services Monitoring of other levels of significant incidents will be developed through contract management and Quality Assessment Framework (QAF) QAF to be implemented in the next quarter to ensure robust and consistent clinical governance of all 	4	3	12		Ruth Tennant	31.03.2016

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Risk What is the issue: <i>what is the root cause/</i> problem – what could go wrong	Consequence /effect: what would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be ?, to whom and why	Existing actions/controls	e) me (See	wit xist easu e Sc Tabl	ting ures coring le)		Sc 1 C (Se	furth ontr ee Sc Tabl	with her ols oring e)	Risk Owner	Review Date
			Impact	Likelihood	Risk		Impact	Likelihood	Risk		
55. Public Health - Healthy Child Programming Commissioning - The failure to commission adequate capacity from the Healthy Child Programme may escalate safeguarding issues.	Possible reputational risk through the LA being forced to reduce service levels to meet budget cuts	Healthy Child Programme Assurance and Development Group established. Healthy Child Programme Review undertaken Healthy Child Programme Procurement Group established Extended review with Early Help commenced. Extended discussions with CCG and schools on-going Estate costs are currently being reviewed Adequate workforce numbers being calculated.	4	4	16	Appropriate budget and core-offer to be determined Safeguarding assurances from provider and CCG needs to be agreed Co-commissioning on certain aspects with CCG to be explored Joint working/integration with Early Help to be agreed	4	3	12	Ruth Tennant	31.03.2016

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Risk What is the issue: what is the root cause/ problem – what could go wrong	Consequence /effect: what would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be ?, to whom and why	Existing actions/controls	e) me	wit xist easu	ting ures coring	actions/controls required	Sc 1 c	furth ontr	with ner ols oring	Cost	Risk Owner	Review Date
			Impact	Likelihood	Risk		Impact	Likelihood	Risk			
56. Transport - Provision of corporate fleet/transport services - Failure to meet safety requirements.	Suspension/loss of Goods Vehicle Operator's Licence resulting in severe disruption to several service areas, reputational damage and	3) Appropriate compliance monitoring procedures in place and monitored ink	5	3	15	 1) Introduction of a drivers handbook 2) Introduction of the use of tachographs for certain categories of vehicles 3) Introduction of trackers on all fleet vehicles 	5	2	12			31.03.2016 Ongoing